# Radiotherapy and the Oral Environment – The Effects of Radiotherapy on the Hard and Soft Tissues of the Mouth and its Management

## S. Rahman\*, P. Maillou<sup>†</sup>, D. Barker<sup>‡</sup> and M. Donachie<sup>§</sup>

**Abstract** - Radiation therapy plays an important role in the care of patients with head and neck cancer. When the oral cavity and the salivary glands are exposed to high doses of radiation, there can be dramatic effects on the patient's oral health. The clinical consequences of radiation can include mucositis, hyposalivation, taste loss, osteoradionecrosis, radiation caries and trismus. This paper looks at the available literature regarding the effects of radiotherapy on the oral environment and outlines practical clinical approaches to prevent or reduce the adverse side effects of treatment.

KEY WORDS: Radiation, Salivary Gland, Oral Health, Mucosa

## INTRODUCTION

Radiation pays an important role in the care of patients with head and neck cancer. Most patients with head and neck cancer treated with curative intent receive a dose of between 50-70Gy. This is usually given in fractions of 2 Gy over a five to seven week period. Patients are typically seen five days a week. Unfortunately, in addition to the desirable anti-tumour effects of radiation, it can also cause damage to the healthy tissues located in the field of radiation. These changes may be classified as acute or transient, intermediate or late in timing and can also be classified as being direct changes or indirect changes. These changes affect the oral mucosa, salivary gland tissue, taste buds, the temporomandibular ligaments and muscles, the teeth and/or the mandibular/maxillary bone.

## Mucositis

Radiation mucositis is defined as the reactive inflammation of the oral and oropharyngeal mucous membranes during radiotherapy in the head and neck region<sup>1</sup>. Historically, mucositis was believed to be a result of the mitotic death of epithelial cells<sup>2</sup>. It was believed that direct damage by radiation or chemotherapy to the basal epithelial cell layer led to loss of renewal capacity of the epithelium, resulting ultimately in cell death, atrophy, and consequent ulceration. More recently, morphological evidence provided by electron microscopy has demonstrated that endothelial and connective tissue damage precedes epithelial changes in irradiated oral mucosa<sup>3</sup> suggesting that endothelial injury is an early event in the development of radiation induced mucositis. In 80% of patients undergoing head and neck radiotherapy, the onset of symptoms appears as early as the end of the first week and will continue for up to 3 weeks following the radiotherapy treatment<sup>4</sup>. Unfortunately, this results in very unpleasant symptoms including local discomfort as well as difficulties in eating, drinking, swallowing and speech. Some clinicians describe the appearance of mucositis as a white discoloration of the oral mucosa, which is hyperkeratinisation, followed by or in combination with erythema<sup>5,6</sup>. Others consider erythema to be the initial reaction<sup>7,8</sup>. Around the third week of radiation more severe symptoms of mucositis such as pseudomembranes and ulceration may appear. It may also coexist with candidal infection and gram -ve infections<sup>9</sup>. (Figure 1)

Unfortunately there is not an established preventive regimen for mucositis and controlled studies on this issue with a large number of patients are lacking. Therefore most recommendations are based on clinical practice.

## Management

During radiotherapy, it is important that dentate patients achieve good plaque control to maintain oral health. However the discomfort of mucositis may hamper this. Therefore, mechanical plaque removal with the use of a soft bristled brush is advocated. Mouthrinses such as chlorhexidine gluconate (0.02%) have beneficial chemotherapeutic effects with respect to plaque control<sup>10</sup>. It has been shown that alcohol free mouthrinses cause less discomfort for the patient than those containing alcohol<sup>11</sup>. In addition to this, a recent review emphasized the potential importance of avoiding alcohol containing mouthwashes due to the increased risk of developing oral cancer<sup>12</sup>; although this is a matter of debate at present. Denture hygiene is also important for the health of the hard and soft tissues and therefore the prosthesis must be regularly cleaned and should be removed at night.

<sup>\*</sup> BDS, MSc, MFDS RCS (Ed)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> BDS, BMSc (Hons), FDS RCS (Ed), PhD, FHEA, FDS (Rest. Dent) RCS (Ed)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> BDS, DDS, FDS RCS Ed, FDS(Rest.Dent) RCS Ed

<sup>§</sup> BDS, MDS, DRD RCS Ed, MRD RCS Ed, FDS RCS Ed



Figure 1.

To avoid mucosal irritation, the patient should be advised to avoid tobacco, spicy, acidic or hard foods and alcohol. Any pain experienced during function can be palliated using available oral solutions. There are solutions available that contain local anesthetics such as diphenhydramine, viscous xylocaine, lidocaine, benzydamine or dyclonine hydrochloride. A double-blind randomized trial compared the efficacy of a) viscous lidocaine with 1% cocaine b) dyclonine c) kaolin-pectin plus diphenhydramine and d) saline, or placebo<sup>13</sup>. The results suggested the dyclonine to be more effective, but failed to demonstrate a significant difference between the four solutions

Benzydamine hydrochloride is an agent with anti-inflammatory, analgesic, anesthetic and antimicrobial capabilities. It may therefore be useful for relieving mucositis symptoms. Recent studies have shown that benzydamine also inhibits the production and effects of pro-inflammatory cytokines particularly tumour necrosing factor<sup>14</sup>. This suggests antiinflammatory effects as the main mode of action. Other studies have shown that topical benzydamine reduces the frequency and severity of ulcerative oral lesions and decreases pain in radiation induced mucositis<sup>15,16,17</sup>.

A variety of agents have been used as mucosal barriers or coating agents such as Sulcralfate, Gelclair and Oromagic. Sucralfate is a sulfated disaccharide which when taken by mouth is only 3-5% systemically absorbed, but binds electrostatically to ulcer bases, acting as a barrier to irritants and promoting healing<sup>18</sup>. Although it is questionable whether this can prevent mucositis, it has been shown to decrease, oropharyngeal pain<sup>19</sup>.

Gelclair is a more recently available preparation which forms a bioprotective coating that provides almost instant comfort and effective pain relief and therefore can potentially improve a sufferer's ability to eat and drink<sup>20</sup>. Palifermin is a form of recombinant human keratinocyte growth factor-1. It has shown to decrease the incidence and duration of severe oral mucositis in patients with hematologic malignancies who are undergoing stem-cell transplantation; however, use in non-stem cell transplant patients should be discouraged until more data is available<sup>21</sup>.

Amifostine is an antioxidant that is also heralded to be preventative by protecting the normal cells from radiation injury preferentially to cancer cells. However, studies have shown it to have only a small benefit in preventing and reducing the severity of mild mucositis<sup>22,23</sup>. Honey has antibacterial and wound healing properties and has been shown to be effective at reducing the prevalence of severe mucositis in one study, although there was bias noted in the study<sup>24</sup>. This would obviously only be worth considering in an edentulous patient.

## **Taste loss**

Alteration in taste is an early response to radiation to the mouth and often precedes mucositis<sup>25</sup>. However, there has been very little systematic research in this area to assess the course of taste loss and recovery following radiation. Most patients experience partial or complete loss of taste during radiotherapy and this is often transitory<sup>3,4</sup>.

Taste loss has been reported 2-3 days after the onset of radiation with doses as low as 20-30Gy<sup>26</sup>. Direct radiation damage to the taste buds or the innervating nerve fibres have been reported to be the cause of taste loss<sup>27</sup>. Perception of bitter and acid flavours is reported to be more impaired than the perception to sweet and salt<sup>28</sup>. Taste sense gradually returns to normal or near normal levels within 1 year after radiation although it has been documented as taking up to 5 years<sup>29</sup>. The recovery time depends on the dose of radiation received.

There has been little systematic research in this area to assess the course of taste loss and recovery following radiation.

Mossman and Henkin (1978) showed in an uncontrolled study that following the administration of zinc sulphate to patients who had radiation induced taste dysfunction, it helped improve taste impairment in some patients<sup>30</sup>.

#### Hyposalivation

Radiation induced hyposalivation starts in the first week of treatment; 50-60% reduction in the salivary flow occurs and after 7 weeks of conventional radiotherapy, salivary flow reduces to approximately 20%<sup>31</sup>.

When the salivary glands are within the field of radiation, it affects both the acinar and the vascular tissue, thereby leading to reduced resting and stimulated salivary flows<sup>32,33</sup>. The serous acini are more readily affected by radiation than mucous acinar and ductal cells<sup>34</sup> and therefore the parotid salivary glands are particulary susceptible to damage<sup>20,35</sup>. Above the total dose of 25-40Gy, the parotid gland function becomes irreversibly reduced<sup>36,37</sup>.

As well as reduced amount, the saliva becomes very viscous in consistency and may become coloured white, yellow or brown<sup>38</sup>. The oral protective functions are reduced (buffering capacity and antibacterial systems) and the electrolyte levels are altered. Whole saliva bicarbonate concentration is lowered and the pH reduces from about 7.0 to  $5.0^{39.40}$ . In response to this, the microflora becomes altered with an increase in acidogenic and cariogenic micro-organisms such as Streptococcus mutans, Lactobacillus *spp* and Candida *spp*<sup>41,42</sup>.

Some recovery of the salivary gland function is possible up to 12-18 months after radiotherapy, depending on the dose and the volume of radiotherapy received by the salivary gland tissue<sup>43</sup>. For the patient, the symptoms of oral dryness are unpleasant. Oral clearance, mastication and deglutition becomes very difficult and the dentition may suffer from radiation caries as a consequence.

#### Management

The symptomatic management of xerostomia includes the use of salivary substitutes and salivary stimulants<sup>44</sup>. Salivary substitutes can be categorised as solutions based on mucin, carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) and linseed. Mucin based salivary substitutes such as Saliva Orthana and Glandosane have been shown to be more effective and better tolerated than the carboxymethylcellulose based ones<sup>45,46</sup>. Saliva Orthana also has a neutral pH, an electrolyte composition similar to that of natural saliva and contains fluoride. However the mucin in Saliva Orthana is derived from porcine gastric mucosa and therefore this product may not be suitable for some ethnic or religious groups. Carboxymethylcellulose based saliva substitutes such as Luborant have been widely used and in a recent study CMC based artificial saliva demonstrated significantly better effects in patients with severe xerostomia whose functional salivary gland capacity was severely compromised<sup>47</sup>. The linseed polysaccharide based substitute has been reported to reduce the complaints of hyposalivation in about 75% of patients who had Sjogrens syndrome48. Some patients prefer frequent water sips or the application of a fine water spray to control the symptoms.

Salivary stimulants such as ascorbic acid, malic acid, sugar free chewing gum, parasympathomimetic drugs such as pilocarpine and other substances such as sugar free mints and nicotinamide have been used with varying success. Unfortunately most of the commercially marketed salivary stimulants have significant side effects. Malic acid and ascorbic acid can cause demineralization of teeth<sup>49</sup>.

Pilocarpine hydrochloride, a parasympathomimetic drug is effective in the management of radiation induced xerostomia<sup>50,51</sup>. The recommended dose is 5mg three times a day although some patients appear to respond only to higher doses (10mg). However, a significant number of patients do not respond to the drug (49-52%)<sup>52</sup>. According to the SIGN guideline 90, the administration of oral pilocarpine (5-10mg three times a day) may be recommended to patients to improve radiation induced xerostomia with evidence of some intact salivary function unless there are contraindications for its use<sup>53</sup>.

In addition to the above there are products on the market that mimic the salivary peroxidase system and which contain antibacterial enzymes. They are Biotene Oral Balance system and BioXtra system. They contain hydroxyethylcellulose, polyglycerylmethacrylate, lactoperoxidase, glucose oxidase and xylitol as active components and also contain lactoferrin, lysozyme and monofluorophosphate. In a double blind evaluation comparing the two products in 20 patients, the study demonstrated that both systems were effective in alleviating post radiation xerostomia although BioXtra seemed to have longer lasting lubricating effect<sup>54</sup>.

## **Radiation Caries**

Following radiotherapy to the head and neck region, generalised dental caries of rapid onset and progression

may occur<sup>55</sup>. Caries may become evident as early as three months after radiotherapy with the resulting lesions having quite a different clinical appearance to standard carious lesions. Based on clinical appearance, radiation caries is observed as three different lesions as described in Table 1<sup>56,57</sup>. At any one time it appears that all types of lesions may be present in a patient who has undergone radiotherapy. (Figure 2).

The distribution of these lesions is atypical as they are usually present on tooth surfaces which would otherwise be relatively immune to dental caries due to protection from the salivary glands<sup>33,58</sup>.

Their effects can be extremely debilitating functionally, aesthetically and psychologically for the individual. Sudden fracture resulting in crown amputation is common in response to the demineralisation of the tooth structure under the sometimes clinical appearance of an "arrested" lesion.

Despite the specific clinical appearance of radiation caries, the pattern of demineralisation as observed using polarised light microscopy appears to be similar to that of ordinary caries<sup>59</sup>. They seemed to have the same morphological and demineralization pattern such as presence of demineralised dentin, translucent zone, reparative dentin deposition when examined histologically under polarised microscope.

Whether radiation caries is a direct or indirect effect of radiation has been debated over the years. In summary, it would appear to be mainly due to an indirect effect of radiotherapy caused by reduced salivary flow rate and its consequences and change in dietary habits due to mucosi-tis<sup>60</sup>. However direct effects may take place rendering the teeth more susceptible to decalcification due to dentinal changes caused by radiation<sup>61</sup>.

Table 1. Three types of radiation caries lesion

1. Starts bucco-cervically on anterior teeth, spreads circumferentially and then inwards.

2. Generalised superficial defect.

3. Generalised brown/black lesion of entire tooth with incisal/ occlusal wear.



Figure 2.

#### Management

Flouride is universally recognised as being a major agent to prevent caries initiation and progression. It can be delivered as a paste, gel or mouthwash at various concentrations. Fluoride in toothpaste at a concentration of 1450ppm will reduce caries by 24% (Type I Cochrane review)<sup>62</sup>. Within the range 1000 to 2500ppm F, every 500ppm fluoride decreases caries by another 6%<sup>63</sup>. A concentration of 5000ppm fluoride has also been shown to be superior to 1100ppm F in arresting root surface caries over a six month period<sup>64</sup>.

The current standard protocol of professionally applied topical fluoride is based on the study by Dreizen and colleagues, involving daily application of 1.1% neutral sodium fluoride gel (5000ppm) in a custom tray for the duration of the xerostomia<sup>65</sup>.

In addition to the application of topical fluoride media, calcium based demineralising systems may be of great use in patients with very little salivary secretion. These systems are advocated because fluoride ions only promote remineralisation by the formation of fluorapatite in the presence of calcium and phosphate ions<sup>66</sup>. Such systems are based on casein phosphopeptide, amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP ACP) – (Recaldent, GC Toothmousse, Trident advantage gum), Unstabilised amorphous calcium phosphate (ACP) – Enamelon and bioactive glass containing calcium sodium phosphosilicate (Novamin).

## Casein phosphopeptide amorphous calcium phosphate technology (CPP-ACP)

This is based on a nano-complex of the milk protein casein phosphopeptide (CPP) with amorphous calcium phosphate (APP). It is a water based sugar-free cream (available in 5 fruity flavours – melon, strawberry, mint, vanilla and tutti frutti) which neutralises an acidic environment. It binds to biofilms, plaque, bacteria as well as tooth structure and therefore is best to use immediately after cleaning of the teeth.

The casein phosphopeptides aims to stabilise high concentrations of Ca and  $PO_4$  onto the tooth surface while still allowing it to diffuse down a concentration gradient<sup>67</sup>.

In a randomised double blind trial in 2003, Reynold *et al* showed that CPP was present up to 3hrs in supragingival plaque after chewing gum<sup>68</sup>. Another double blind randomised cross over trial demonstrated that lozenges are a suitable vehicle to deliver CPP ACP to promote enamel remineralisation<sup>69</sup>. There has also been an in vitro study showing that following exposure of enamel to 0.2% citric acid for 1 hr, Tooth mousse and Proenamel offered significantly reduced enamel loss compared to distilled water<sup>70</sup>. Therefore, CPP ACP technology has been shown to decrease the progression of coronal caries and remineralise enamel subsurface lesions<sup>71</sup>.

## Enamelon<sup>™</sup> (ACP) technology

This is based on unstabilized ACP, where a calcium salt and a phosphate salt are delivered separately intra-orally. As they mix with saliva they release calcium and phosphate ions which in the presence of fluoride will form a stable, insoluble fluoroapatite. In a study by Papas *et al* in 2008, they showed that Enamelon provided a significant benefit in preventing and remineralising root caries in high caries risk radiation patients<sup>72</sup>.

#### Osteoradionecrosis

This is a long-term and the most serious side effect of radiotherapy. It is defined as necrotic soft tissue and exposed bone in an irradiated field that fails to heal spontaneously<sup>73</sup>. Some studies have not commented on the length of exposure, while others have suggested a period of up to 6 months<sup>74,75,76</sup>.

The incidence of osteoradionecrosis (ORN) is greater in the mandible than the maxilla and is estimated to occur in 2% - 22% of irradiated patients<sup>77,78</sup>. The predilection for the mandible may be because of its reduced vascularity and/or because it has more compact bone than the maxilla and therefore absorbs more radiation. The incidence of ORN is very low when the total radiation dose is less than 60Gy. However, it is more common when brachytherapy is used as the mode of delivery with the mandible in the area of treatment instead of or in addition to external beam therapy. Recent studies have shown when chemotherapy is used in conjunction with radiation the incidence of ORN may increase<sup>79,80</sup>. In contrast, the use of intensity modulated radiotherapy may reduce the incidence of ORN.

Predisposing factors for ORN include size and site of tumour, radiation dose, fraction size, local trauma, dental disease, dentoalveolar surgery, immune defects and malnutrition. It may also occur spontaneously, unrelated to trauma<sup>81</sup>.

Marx in 1983, proposed the 3 Hs (hypoxic-hypocellularhypovascular) theory to understand the patho-physiology of ORN<sup>38</sup>. Radiation of bone leads to endarteritis obliterans with thrombosis of small blood vessels, fibrosis of periosteum and mucosa, and damage to osteocytes, osteoblasts and fibroblasts. Therefore there is breakdown of tissue driven by persistent hypoxia that can cause a chronic nonhealing wound (a wound in which metabolic demands exceed supply)<sup>38</sup>.

There has been a lot of work and research on the pathophysiology of ORN and the current theory proposes radiation-induced fibrosis, which can affect normal tissues as well as bone after radiation<sup>82</sup>. Therefore when ORN occurs in the jaw bone there is reduction in bone matrix and its replacement with fibrous tissue.

## Management

Management of ORN is essentially conservative with pain relief and treatment of infection. If the patient is dentate, preventative measures such as a good oral hygiene regime, frequent topical fluoride application and 0.02% aqueous chlorhexidine gluconate mouthwashes should continue with advice on diet and nutrition. Small wounds may be debrided superficially and any loose necrotic bone removed. Systemic antibiotics should be reserved for symptomatic cases of established ORN where there is clinical evidence of infection and pus, including a discharging sinus<sup>83</sup>. (Figure 3)

Hyperbaric oxygen (HBO) regime has been shown to be effective in promoting healing in ORN<sup>84,85</sup>. Marx's (1983)



Figure 3.

Table 2. Marx Protocol for HBO therapy

Pressure	2.4 Atmospheres
Duration	90 mins
Prophylactic	20 dives pre-op; 10 dives post-op
Active ORN	30 dives pre-op; 10 dives post-op

protocol for HBO is shown in Table 2<sup>86</sup>. Marx's initial study compared HBO treatment to antibiotic administration (penicillin) in a randomized trial and showed that only 2 of 37 patients in the HBO group and 11 of 37 in the penicillin (control) group, developed osteoradionecrosis<sup>87</sup>. These results suggested the use of HBO to prevent ORN is beneficial compared to antibiotics. However, more recent studies including a prospective randomized controlled study by Annane *et al* showed no benefit of HBO over placebo<sup>88</sup>. Therefore, this is still a controversial area at present.

New treatment regimes with pentoxifylline, a vasodilator that also inhibits fibrosis and tocopherol (Vitamin E) to reduce the damage caused by free radicals<sup>89</sup> are in use in some units. These two drugs act synergistically as potent antifibrotic agents.

## TMD and trismus

Trismus is a late complication following radiation for head and neck cancers<sup>90</sup>. Generally the onset of trismus is noted 3-6months after radiation treatment and is usually a lifelong complication<sup>91</sup>. The reported incidence varies from 5% to 47% in those with head and neck cancer<sup>92</sup> and depends on the total radiation dose, fractionation and treatment techniques.

It is caused primarily due to radiation to the temporomandibular joint and/or masticatory muscles or by the invasion of these tissues by the tumour<sup>93</sup>. It is a result of fibrosis of the ligaments around the temporomandibular joints and the adjacent soft tissue as well as scarring of the pterygomandibular raphes<sup>94</sup>.

#### Management

First line treatments include physical therapy with manual jaw exercises, tongue depressor therapy or use of mechanical mouth stretching devices such as Therabite<sup>95,96</sup>. Buchbinder (1993) in his study compared the use of Therabite to tongue blade therapy in patients whose mouth opening was less than 30mm. He showed that the Therabite combined with unassisted exercise improved mouth opening by 13.6mm after 10 weeks of therapy. At week 6 and thereafter, the net increase in Therabite group (13.6 mm [ $\pm$ 1.6 mm]) was significantly greater than tongue depressor group (4.4 mm [ $\pm$ 2.1 mm]).

Other non surgical regimes include microelectric current and pentoxifylline therapies; but are not widely used as results from these studies were not promising<sup>97,98</sup>.

Surgical management is usually advocated after the non invasive lines of treatments have failed. In a study by Bhrany *et al* (2007), coronoidectomy was carried out in 18 patients with inter-incisal opening of less than 20mm after failing physical therapy for 3 months. They demonstrated that that post-coronoidectomy, inter-incisal opening was maintained up to 35mm; although the study lacked a control population<sup>99</sup>.

## Periodontium

The direct and indirect effects of radiation on the periodontium can result in a decreased capacity for repair and can lead on to an increase in attachment loss, and even an increased risk of developing osteoradionecrosis<sup>100, 101,102,103</sup>. Morphologic and histological changes are noted in the periodontium; decreased vascularity and cellularity of the periodontal membrane and disorientation of the Sharpeys fibers and widening of the periodontal ligament space have been reported<sup>104,105</sup>. Hyposalivation caused due to radiation can also predispose patients to an increase risk of periodontal disease. This could be due to an increased plaque accumulation and a shift in oral microflora<sup>106,107</sup>. Marques and Dib (2004) showed that there was about 70% clinical attachment loss in their patients 6-8 months following radiation to the head and neck region<sup>108</sup> illustrating the importance of thorough pre and post radiation treatment planning and preventative regime.

## DISCUSSION

The consequences of early and late radiation effects in the head and neck region have a tremendous impact on the quality of the life for patients. Radiation induced side effects can be reduced to some extent with preventative regimes as outlined in this paper. With an increasing number of ageing dentate patients, prevention is the key. The role of multidisciplinary care is essential in managing these patients and the role of specialist dental input has been widely recognized<sup>109,110</sup>.

## ACKNOWLEGEMENT

We would like to thank Mr. Stewart Barclay, Consultant in Restorative Dentistry, Newcastle Dental Hospital for providing the clinical picture for ORN.

## ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE

Mr Shahab Rahman, Aberdeen Dental School and Hospital, Cornhill Road, Aberdeen, AB25 2ZR. Email: Shahab.rahman@nhs.net

## REFERENCES

- Vissink A, Jansma J, Spijkervet FKL, Burlage FR, Coppes RP. Oral Sequelae of head and neck radiotherapy. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 2003; 14(3):199-212.
- 2 Lockhart PB, Sonis ST. Alterations in the oral mucosa caused by chemotherauptic agents. A histologic study. J Dermatol Surg Oncol, 1981; 7: 1019-1025.
- 3 Sonis ST, Peterson RL, Edwards LJ, *et al.* Defining mechanisms of action of interleukin-11 on the progression of radiation-induced oral mucositis in hamsters. Oral Oncol.2000; 36: 373-381.
- 4 Kielbassa AM, Hinkelbein W, Hellwig E, Meyer-Luckel H. Radiation related damage to dentition. Lancet Oncol 2006; 7: 326-35.
- 5 Spijkervet FKL, Van Saene HKF, Panders AK, Vermey A, Mehta DM. Scoring irradiation mucositis in head and neck cancer patients. J Oral Pathl Med. 1989; 18: 167-171.
- 6 Scully C, Epstein JB. Oral health care for the cancer patient. Oral Oncol Eur J Cancer 1996; 32(B): 281-292.
- 7 Dreizen SA, Daly TE, Drane JB, Brown LR. Oral complications of cancer radiotherapy. Postgrad Med 1977b; 61:85-92.
- 8 Sonis ST, Eilers JP, Epstein JB, LeVeque FG, Liggett WH, Mulagha MT, *et al.* Validation of a new scoring system for the assessment of clinical trial research of oral mucositis induced by radiation or chemotherapy. Cancer 1999; 85:2103-2113.
- 9 Spijkervet FKL, van Saene HKF, van Saene JJM, Panders AK, Vermey A, Mehta DM. Mucositis prevention by selective elimination of oral flora in irradiated head and neck cancer patients. J Oral Pathol Med 1990; 19:486-489.
- 10 Ferretti, G.A., Raybould, T.P., Brown, A.T., Macdonald, J.S., Greenwood, M., *et al.* Chlorhexidine prophylaxis for chemotherapy- and radiotherapy-induced stomatitis: A randomised double blind trial. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology 1990, 69:331-338.
- 11 Borrajo, J.L.L., Varela, L.G., Castro, G.L., Rodriguez-Nunez, I., Figueroa, M.G., Torreira, M.G. Efficacy of chlorhexidine mouthrinses with and without alcohol: A clinical study. J Periodontol 2002;73:317-21.
- 12 McCullough, M.J., Farah, C.S. The role of alcohol in oral carcinogenesis with particular reference to alcohol-containing mouthwashes. Australian Dental Journal 2008; 53:302-305.
- 13 Carnel, S.B., Blakeslee, D.B., Oswald, S.G., Barnes, M. Treatment of radiation-and chemotherapy-induced stomatitis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1990;102:326–330.
- 14 Riboldi, E., Frascaroli, G., Transidico, P., Luini, W., Bernasconi, S., et al. Benzydamine inhibits monocyte migration and MAPK activation induced by chemotactic agonists. Br J Pharmacol 2003; 140(2): 377-383.
- 15 Kim, J.H., Chu, F.C., Lakshmi, V., Houde, R. Benzydamine HCl, a new agent for the treatment of radiation mucositis of the oropharnyx. Am J Clin Oncol. 1986;9:132-134.
- 16 Prada, A., Chiesa, F. Effects of benzydamine on the oral mucositis during antineoplastic radiotherapy and/or intra-arterial chemotherapy. Int J Tissue React. 1987;9:115-119.
- 17 Epstein, J.B., Silverman, S. Jr., Paggiarino, D.A., *et al.* Benzydamine HCL for prophylaxis of radiation-induced oral mucositis:results from a multicenter, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Cancer.2001;92:875-885.
- 18 Konturek, S.J., Brzozowski, T., Bielanski, W. Epidermal growth factor in the gastroprotective and ulcer-healing actions of sucralfate in rats. Am J Med 1989, 86 (supl.6a), 32-37.
- 19 Epstein, J.B., Wong, F.L.W. The efficacy of sulcralfate suspension in the preventin of oral mucositis due to radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Bio Phys 1994, 28; 693-698.
- 20 Innocenti, M., Moscatelli, G., Lopez, S. Efficacy of Gelclair in Reducing Pain in Patients with Oral Lesions: Preliminary Findings from an Open Pilot Study. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 2002; 24(5): 456-457.
- 21 Spielberger, R., Emmanouilides, C., Stiff, P., et al. Use of recombinant human keratinocyte growth factor (palifermin) can reduce severe oral mucositis in patients with hematologic malignancies undergoing autologous peripheral blood progenitor cell transplantation after radiation based conditioning. J Support Oncol.2004;2(suppl 2):73-74.

- 22 Bourhis, J., De Crevoisier, R., Abdulkarim, B., Deutsch, E., Lusinchi, A., Luboinski, B., *et al.* A randomized study of very accelerated radiotherapy with and without amifostine in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology and Physics 2000;46(5):1105–8.
- 23 Brizel, D.M., Wasserman, T.H., Henke, H., Strnad, V., Rudat, V., Monnier, A., *et al.* Phase III randomized trial of amifostine as a radioprotector in head and neck cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2000;18(19):3339-45.
- 24 Biswal, B.M., Zakaria, A., Nik Min, A. Topical application of honey in the management of radiation mucositis: A randomised study. International Journal of Cancer 2002;Supp 13:480 (Abs 1109).
- 25 Conger AD. Loss of recovery of taste acuity in patients irradiated to the oral cavity. Radiat Res 1973, 53, 338-347.
- 26 Mossman KL. Gustatory tissue injury in man: radiation dose response relationship and mechanism of taste loss. Br J Cancer 1986; 53: 9-11.
- 27 Mossman KL, Shatzman AR, Chencharick JD. Long term effects of radiotherapy on taste and salivary function in man. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1982; 8: 991-997.
- 28 Tomita Y, Osaki T. Gustatory impairment and salivary gland pathophysiology in relation to oral cancer treatment. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1990; 19: 299-304.
- 29 Mossman KL, Henkin RI. Radiation induced changes in taste acuity in cancer patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1978; 4: 663-670.
- 30 Mossman, K.L., Henkin, R.I. Radiation induced changes in taste acuity in cancer patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1978; 4: 663-670.
- 31 Franzen L, Funegard U, Ericson T, Henriksson R. Parotid gland function during and following radiotherapy of malignancies in the head and neck: a consecutive study of salivary flow and patient discomfort. Eur J Cancer 1992;28: 457-462.
- 32 Dreizen S, Daly TE, Drane JB, Brown LR. Oral complications of cancer radiotherapy. Postgrad Med 1977,61: 85-92.
- 33 Liu RP, Fleming TJ, Toth BB, Keene HJ. Salivary flow rates in patients with head and neck cancer 0.5 to 25 years after radiotherapy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1990, 70: 724-729.
- 34 Kashima HK, Kirkham WR, Andrews JR. Postirradiation sialadenitis: a study of the clinical features, histopathological changes, and serum enzyme variations following irradiation of human salivary glands. Am J Roentgenol Rad Ther Nucl Med 1965; 94: 271–291.
- 35 Stephens LC, Schulthesiss TE, Price RE, Ang KK, Peters LI. Radiation apoptosis of serous acinar cells of salivary and lacrimal glands. Cancer 1991; 67: 1539-1543.
- 36 Eisbruch A, Ten Haken RK, Kim HM, *et al.* Dose, volume and function relationships in parotid salivary glands following conformal and intensity-modulated irradiation of head and neck cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1999; 45: 577-87.
- 37 Roesink JM, Moerland MA, Battermann JJ, et al. Quantitative dose-volume response analysis of changes in parotid gland function after radiotherapy in the head and neck region. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001; 51:938-46.
- 38 Ben-Aryeh H, Gutman D, Szargel R, Laufer D. Effects of irradiation on saliva in cancer patients. Int J Oral Surg 1975; 14: 205–210.
- 39 Dreizen SA, Brown LR, Handler S, Levi BM. Radiation-induced xerostomia in cancer patients. Cancer 1976; 38: 273-278.
- 40 Marks JE, Davis CC, Gottman VL, Purdy JE, Lee F. The effects of radiation on parotid salivary function. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1981; 7: 1013-1019.
- 41 Keene HJ, Daly T, Brown LR *et al.* Dental caries and Streptococcus mutans prevalence in cancer patients with irradiation-induced xerostomia:1-13 years after radiotherapy. Caries Res 1981; 10: 165-170.
- 42 Epstein JB, Chin EA, Jacobson JJ, *et al.* The relatioships among fluoride, cariogenic oral flora, and salivary flow rate during radiation therapy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1998; 86: 286-292.
- 43 Valdez I. Radiation-induced salivary dysfunction: clinical course and significance. Special Care Dent. 1991; 11:524-555.
- 44 Davies, A.N. The management of xerostomia: a review. Eur J Cancer Care 1997; 6: 209–14.
- 45 Vissink, A., 's-Gravenmade, E.J., Panders, A.K., *et al.* A clinical comparison between commercially available mucin- and CMCcontaining saliva substitutes. Int J Oral Surg 1983; 12: 232–38.

- 46 Visch, L.L., 's-Gravenmade, E.J., Schaub, R.M., Van Putten, W.L., Vissink, A. A double-blind crossover trial of CMC- and mucincontaining saliva substitutes. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1986; 15:395–400.
- 47 Oh, D.J., Lee, J.Y., Kim Y.K., Kho, H.S. Effects of carboxymethylcellulose (CMC)-based artificial saliva in patients with xerostomia. Int J Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2008;37: 1027-1031.
- 48 Johansson, G., Andersson, G., Attström, R., Glantz, P.O., Larsson, K. The effect of Salinum on the symptoms of dry mouth: a pilot study. Gerodontol 1994;11:46–9.
- 49 Anneroth, G., Nordenram, G., Bengtsson, S. Effect of saliva stimulants [Hybrin and malic acid] on cervical root surfaces in vitro. Scand J Dent Res 1980; 88:214–18.
- 50 Davies, A.N., Singer, J. A comparison of artificial saliva and pilocarpine in radiation-induced xerostomia. Journal of Laryngology and Otology 1994;108(8):663–5.
- 51 Johnson, J.T., Ferretti, G.A., Nethery, W.J., Valdez, I.H., Fox, P.C., Ng, D.,*et al.* Oral pilocarpine for post-irradiation xerostomia in patients with head and neck cancer. New England Journal of Medicine 1993;329 (6):390–5.
- 52 LeVeque, F.G., Montgomery, M., Potter, D., Zimmer, M.B., Rieke, J.W., Steiger, B.W. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-titration study of oral pilocarpine for treatment of radiation- induced xerostomia in head and neck cancer patients. Journal of Clinical Oncology 1993;11(6):1124–31.
- 53 Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network 90. Diagnosis and management of head and neck cancer. A national clinical guideline. October 2006; 1:78.
- 54 Shahdad, S.A., Taylor, C., Barclay, S.C., Steen, I.N., Preshaw, P.M. A double- blind cross over study of Biotene Oral Balance and BioXtra systems as salivary substitutes in patients with postradiotherapy xerostomia. European Journal of Cancer Care. 2005;14, 319-326.
- 55 Jansma J, Vissink A, Jongebloed WL, Retief DH, 's-Gravenmade EJ (1993). Natural and induced radiation caries. A SEM study. Am J Dent 6:130–136.
- 56 RM, Herdly J, Philippe E. Acquired dental defects and salivary gland lesions after irradiation for carcinoma. J Am Dent Assoc 1965; 70:868–883.
- 57 Karmiol M, Walsh RF (1975). Dental caries after radiotherapy of the oral regions. J Am Dent Assoc 91:838–845.
- 58 Del Regato JA. Dental lesions observed after Roentgen therapy in cancer of the buccal cavity, pharynx and larynx. Am J Roentgenol 1939; 42:404-410.
- 59 Silva ARS, Alves FA, Antunes A, Goes MF, Lopes MA. Patterns of demineralization and dentin reactions in radiation-related caries. Caries Research 2009; 43: 43-49.
- 60 Kielbassa AM, Schulte-Mönting J, Hellwig E (1999). Correlation between microhardness transversal microradiography and in situ-induced demineralization in irradiated human dental enamel. Arch Oral Biol 44:243–251.
- 61 Springer IN, Niehoff P, Warnke PH, Bocek G, *et al.* Radiation cariesradiogenic destruction of dental collagen. Oral Oncol 2005; 41: 723-728.
- 62 Marinho, V.C.C., Higgins, J.P.T., Logan, S., Sheiham, A. Fluoride toothpastes for preventing dental caries in children and adolescents, Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003; (3): CD002284.
- 63 Stephen, K.W., Creanor, S.L., Russell, J.I., *et al.* A 3 year oral health dose response study of sodium monofluorophosphate dentifrices with and without zinc citrate:anticaries results. Community Dental Oral Epidemiology 1988; 16: 321-325.
- 64 Den Besten, P. Using a toothpaste containing 5000ppm fluoride is more effective in rehardening root caries than using one with 1100 ppm fluoride. J Evid Base Dent Pract 2001;1: 97-8.
- 65 Dreizen, S., Brown, L.R., Daly, T.E., Drane, J.B. Prevention of xerostomia-related dental caries in irradiated cancer patients. J Dent Res. 1977; 56(2):99-104.
- 66 Ten Cate, J.M. Current concepts on the theories of the mechanism of action of fluoride. Acta Odontol Scand 1999;57:325-329.
- 67 Cross, K.J., Huq, N.K., Palamara, J.E., Perich, J.W., Reynolds, E.C. Physiochemical characteristics of casein phophopeptideamorphous calcium phosphate nanocomplexes. J Biol Chem 2005; 280:15362-15369.
- 68 Reynold, E.C., Cai, F., Shen, P., Walker, G.D. Retention in plaque and remineralization of enamel lesions by various forms of calcium in a mouthrinse or sugar-free chewing gum. J Dent Res 2003; 82:206-211.

- 69 Cai, F., Shen, P., Morgan, M.V., Reynolds, E.C. Remineralization of enamel subsurface lesions in situ by sugar-free lozenges containing casein phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate. Aust Dent J 2003; 48(4):240-3.
- 70 Rees, J., Loyn, T., Chadwick, B. Proenamel and tooth mousse: An initial assessment of erosion prevention in vitro. Journal of Dentistry 2007; 35:355-357.
- 71 Morgan, M.V., Adams, G.G., Bailey, D.L., Tsao, C.E., Fischermsn, S.L., Reynolds, E.C. The anticariogenic effect of sugar-free gum containing CPP-ACP nanocomplexes on approximal caries determined using digital bitewing radiography. Caries Res 2008; 42:171-184.
- 72 Papas, A., Russell, D., Singh, M., Kent, R., Triol, C., Winston, A. Caries clinical trial of a remineralising toothpaste in radiation patients. Gerodontology 2008; 25: 76-88.
- 73 Marx RE. Osteoradionecrosis: A new concept of its pathophysiology. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1983; 41:283-8.
- 74 Harris M. The conservative management of osteoradionecrosis of the mandible with ultrasound therapy. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1992; 30:313–8.
- 75 Beumer J, Silverman S, Benak SB. Hard and soft tissue necroses following radiation therapy for oral cancer. J Prosthet Dent 1972; 27:640–4.
- 76 Epstein JB, Wong FL, Dickens A, Szasz I, Lepawsky M. Bone and gallium scans in postradiotherapy osteonecrosis of the jaw. Head Neck 1992; 14:288–92.
- 77 Schwartz HC, Kagan AR. Osteoradionecrosis of the mandible: scientific basis for clinical staging. Am J Clin Oncol 2002; 25: 168–71.
- 78 Store G, Boysen M. Mandibular osteoradionecrosis: clinical behavior and diagnostic aspects. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci 2000; 25: 378–84.
- 79 Kuhnt T, Becker A, Bloching M, *et al.* Phase II trial of a simultaneous radiochemotherapy with cisplatinum and paclitaxel in combination with hyperfractionated-accelerated radiotherapy in locally advanced head and neck tumors. Med Oncol 2006; 23:325–34.
- 80 HehrT, Classen J,Welz S, *et al.* Hyperfractionated, accelerated chemoradiation with concurrent mitomycin-C and cisplatin in locally advanced head and neck cancer, a phase I/II study. Radiother Oncol 2006; 80:33–8.Epub 2006 Jul 27.
- 81 Epstein JB, Rea G, Wong FLW, *et al.* Osteonecrosis: a study of the relationship of dental extractions in patients receiving radio-therapy. Head Neck Surg 1987; 10: 48-54.
- 82 Delanian S, Lefaix JL. The radiation-induced fibroatrophic process: therapeutic perspective via the antioxidant pathway. Radiother Oncol 2004; 73:119–31.
- 83 Epstein, J., Van der Meij, E., McKenzie, M., *et al.* Postradiation osteonecrosis of the mandible. A long term follow up study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1997; 83: 657–662.
- 84 Fattore, L., Strauss, R.A. Hyperbaric oxygen in the treatment of osteoradionecrosis: a review of its use and efficacy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1987, 63, 280-286.
- 85 McKenzie, M.R., Wong, F.L.W., Epstein, J.B., Lepawsky, M. Hyperbaric oxygen and postradiation osteonecrosis of the mandible. Oral Oncol, Eur J Cancer 1993, 29B, 201-207.
- 86 Marx, R.E. A new concept in the treatment of osteoradionecrosis. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1983; 41:351-7.
- 87 Marx, R.E., Johnson, R.P., Kline, S.N. Prevention of osteoradionecrosis: A randomized prospective clinical trial of hyperbaric oxygen versus penicillin. J Am Dent Assoc 1985; 111: 49-54.
- 88 Annane, D., Depondt, J., Aubert, P., Villart, M., Gehanno, P., Gajdos, P., *et al*. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy for radionecrosis of the jaw: A randomized, placebo-controlled, double blind trial from the OR96 study group. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22: 4893-900.
- 89 Delanian, S., Depondt, J., Lefaix, J.L. Major healing of refractory mandible osteoradionecrosis after treatment combining pentoxifylline and tocopherol: a phase II trial. Head Neck 2005; 27: 114–23.
- 90 Steelman R, Sokol J. Quantification of trismus following radiation of the temporomandibular joint. MO Dent J 1986; 66:21-23.
- 91 Ichimura K, Tanaka T. Trismus in patients with malignant tumours in the head and neck. J Craniomandib Prac 1993; 107: 1017-1020.
- 92 Dijkstra PU, Huisman PM, Roodenburg JL. Criteria for trismus in head and neck oncology. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2006; 35: 337–342.

- 93 Goldstein M, Maxymiw WG, Cummings BJ, Wood RE. The effects of antitumour irradiation on mandibular opening and mobility. A propective study of 58 patients. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Endod 1999; 88:365-373.
- 94 Dahloff G, Rozell B, Forsberg CM, Borgstrom B. Histologic changes in dental morphology induced by high dose chemotherapy and total body irradiation. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1994b; 77:56-60.
- 95 Cohen, E.G., Deschler, D.G., Walsh, K., Hayden, R.E. Early use of a mechanical stretching device to improve mandibular mobility after composite resection: a pilot study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2005; 86:1416–1419.
- 96 Buchbinder, D., Currivan, R.B., Kaplan, A.J., Urken, M.L. Mobilization regimens for the prevention of jaw hypomobility in the radiated patient: a comparison of three techniques. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1993; 51:863–867.
- 97 Lennox, A.J., Shafer, J.P., Hatcher, M., Beil, J., Funder, S.J. Pilot study of impedance-controlled microcurrent therapy for managing radiation-induced fibrosis in head-and-neck cancer patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002; 54: 23–34.
- 98 Chua, D.T.T., Lo, C., Yuen, J., *et al.* A pilot study of pentoxifylline in the treatment of radiation-induced trismus. Am J Clin Oncol 2001; 24:366–369.
- 99 Bhrany, A.D., Izzard, M., Wood, A.J., Futran, N.D. Coronoidectomy for the treatment of trismus in head and neckcancerpatients. Laryngoscope2007;117(11):1952-6.
- 100 Murray CG, Herson J, Daly TE, Zimmerman S. Radiation necrosis of the mandible: A 10 year study. Part II. Dental factors: Onset, duration and management of necrosis. J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1980; 6: 549-553.

- 101 Fattore LD, Strauss R, Bruno J. The management of periodontal disease in patients who have received radiation therapy for head and neck cancer. Spec Care Dent 1987; 7: 120-123.
- 102 Wright WE. Periodontium destruction associated with oncology therapy. Five case reports. J Periodontol 1987; 58: 559-563.
- 103 Dibb LL, Marques MAC. The role of periodontal disease on the pathogenesis of osteoradionecrosis. Report of a case with 10 year of follow up. In Varma AK, Roodenburg JLN, eds. Oral Oncology. NewDelhi Macmillan; 2001:77-81.
- 104 Silverman S, Chierici G. Radiation therapy of oral carcinoma. I. Effects on oral tissues and management of the periodontium. J Periodontol 36: 478-484.
- 105 Anneroth G, Holm LE, Karrison G. The effect of radiation on teeth. A clinical, histologic and microradiographic study. Int J Oral Surgery 1985; 14(6):538-543.
- 106 Markitziu A, Zaliropoulos G, Tsalikis L, Cohen L. Gingival health and salivary function in head and neck irradiated patients. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1992; 73: 427-433.
- 107 Leung WK, Jin LJ, Samaranayake LP, Chiu GKC. Subgingival microbiota of shallow periodontal pockets in individuals after head and neck irradiation. Oral Microbiol Immunol 1998; 13: 1-10.
- 108 Marques MAC, Dibb LL. Periodontal changes in patients undergoing radiotherapy. J Periodontol 2004; 75:1178-1187.
- 109 Improving outcomes in head and neck cancers- The Manual. National Institute for Clinical Excellence 2004; 1-165.
- 110 Diagnosis and management of head and neck cancer. A national clinical guideline. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network 90. October 2006; 1-96.